



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,280	09/27/2005	Hirofumi Yazaki	1027550-000160	1581

21839 7590 05/12/2010
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

LLOYD, EMILY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3736

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

05/12/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com
offserv@bipc.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,280	YAZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EMILY M. LLOYD	3736	

All Participants:

(1) EMILY M. LLOYD.

(2) Wendi Weinstein.

Status of Application: After Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 May 2010

Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 14, 17, 18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brian Szmal/

Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that Applicant's previously submitted arguments cited a figure that was not provided. Additionally, the Examiner noted that the 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejection regarding previous claim 17 (with language now incorporated into claim 14) did not appear to be addressed and that previous claim 18 did not appear to be incorporated into current claim 14 as stated in Applicant's arguments.